

Appl. No. : 10/799,577  
Filed : March 11, 2004

### REMARKS

#### Double Patenting

Claims 1-20 are rejected as being unpatentable over Claims 1, 4, 5, 1, 7, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 11, 12, 13, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 1, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,375,370 on the basis of the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. While Applicant does not necessarily agree with the basis for the rejection, a terminal disclaimer is enclosed to overcome the rejection. Reconsideration is respectfully requested. Applicant respectfully submits that the above-identified application is in condition for allowance, and therefore issuance of a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

The undersigned has made a good faith effort to respond to all of the rejections and objections in the case, and to place the claims in condition for immediate allowance. Nevertheless, if any undeveloped issues remain or if any issues require clarification, the Examiner is respectfully requested to call Applicants attorney, Steven J. Nataupsky at (949) 760-0404, in order to resolve such issues promptly.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: January 20, 2005

By: 

Steven J. Nataupsky  
Registration No. 37,688  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(949) 760-0404